Annex Part 1 - Common Priority Key Performance Indicators

	Key Performance Indicator (KPI)	Value in 2017	Baseline at the start of H2020 (latest available)	Target (for the cPPP) at the end of H2020	Comments
1	Mobilised Private Investments	The private investment significantly exceeds the expected leverage factor of 5 to 10 compared to public funding in the 5G PPP Contractual Arrangement. For detailed information on the methodology used by 5G IA for the 2017 KPI calculation, please refer to the Business KPI "B1. Leverage effect of EU research and innovation funding in terms of private investment in R&D for 5G systems in the order of 5 to 10 times" in Annex - Part 2 below.			
2	New skills and/or job profiles				This will also have an impact on the curricula of higher education.

		 The following report provides useful information: e-Skills in Europe - Trends and Forecasts for the European ICT Professional and Digital Leadership Labour Markets (2015- 2020). 2015
3	Impact of the 5G PPP on SMEs	• See information on the main PMR document An SME's business performance can depend on many factors, and not only on the participation in a given R&D programme. It is up to the individual SME to quantify how the participation in 5G PPP projects had an influence on parameters like turnover, job profiles and staff headcount variations, etc In most cases, they should manage to provide this information, with some degree of precision. A survey to collect such information (turnover, job profiles and staff headcount variations) from the SMEs participating in the 5G PPP will be organised by the end Q1 2019

4	Significant Innovations	The Phase 1 'Key achievements' https://5G PPP.eu/phase-1-key- achievements/ are a good indicator of innovation (see main PMR document).	Information on IPRs is always difficult to assess as from the IPR application to receiving patents certain time-periods have to be respected.
		The document enclosed hereunder "5G IP Landscape Analysis", prepared by 5G IA Member Thales in the context of the preparation of the 5G PPP Progress Monitoring Report 2017, aims at determining early trends for 5G mobile network underlying technologies and may represent a contribution to the definition of IP KPIs for the 5G PPP.	
		The Excel file enclosed hereunder presents a synthesis of	

an initial 'patent
search' made on the
European Patent
Office' Espacenet
https://worldwide.espa
cenet.com/
Copia di PatentSearch_20180
PatentSearch_20180
The document "5G
PPP Work and
Impact on
Standardization"
enclosed hereunder
provides an overview
of the main activities,
successes and
challenges related to
pre-standardization
activities
POF
5G PPP Work and
Impact on Standardi
The document "5G
PPP Phase 1 and 2
Projects in the EC
Innovation Radar"
hereunder provides
information on

innovations from 5G PPP Projects			
5G PPP Phase 1 and 2 Projects in the EC 1			

	KPI domain	Key Performance Indicator (KPI)	Value in 2017	Baseline at the start of H2020 (latest available)	Target (for the cPPP) at the end of H2020	Comments
1	Performance	P1. Providing 1000 times higher wireless area capacity and more varied service capabilities compared to 2010	Please refer	A useful reference is the table on Performance		
2	Performance	P2. Reducing the average service creation time cycle from 90 hours to 90 minutes	to enclosed document hereunder SGInfraPPP TB_Performance-KPIs	kPIs evaluated by phase 1 project METIS- II (see Table A		
3	Performance	P3. Facilitating very dense deployments of wireless communication links to connect over 7 trillion wireless devices serving over 7 billion people		at the end of Annex – Part 2)		
4	Performance	P4. Creating a secure, reliable and dependable internet with a "zero perceived" downtime for services provision				

¹ It is often not possible to adequately present/capture the progress made on KPIs in a table. <u>Deliverable 2.6 "Final report on programme progress and KPIs"</u>

Euro-5G-D2.6_Final report on programs submitted by the Euro-5G project provides detailed information on the advancement and achievements on all 5G PPP's specific KPIs in 2017.

research and innovation funding in terms of private investment in R&D for 5G systems in the order of 5 to 10 times Our methodology is based upon gathering the published public figures from annual reports for worldwide R&D expenses. The main challenge is then to assess the declared R&D figures of a representative set of key ICT players and deduce which proportion of their R&D spend is 5G related. We also discussed if the 5G spend in Europe could be identified or at least assessed. We made conservative assumptions on what the 5G activities share of their worldwide R&D was – usually in the order of 10% and then we further reduced that to reflect what European share of the 5G activities as part of the total R&D expenses could be – typically we ended up with a figure of about 5% of global R&D. To further eliminate over-assessment risks and to give us a very conservative figure we also considered the European 5G as 2% of Global R&D. These proportions of 5G research of total research expenses will increase as 5G moves into full standardisation, development and production over the next few years and future iterations of these assessments will take account of this. Our first release dated July 2016 was based on publicly available figures for FY2015. The third edition uses FY2017 figures. We did not modify the shares applied last year as we consider the full standardisation phase has not been achieved yet. In our view, 2018 will be a transition year from standardisation to trials.	_	D :	D1 I CC CETT	A1.1.1.1
funding in terms of private investment in R&D for 5G systems in the order of 5 to 10 times Our methodology is based upon gathering the published public figures from annual reports for worldwide R&D expenses. The main challenge is then to assess the declared R&D figures of a representative set of key ICT players and deduce which proportion of their R&D spend is 5G related. We also discussed if the 5G spend is 5G related. We also discussed if the 5G activities share of their worldwide R&D was — usually in the order of 10% and then we further reduced that to reflect what European share of the 5G activities a part of the total R&D expenses could be — typically we ended up with a figure of about 5% of global R&D. To further eliminate over-assessment risks and to give us a very conservative figure we also considered the European 5G as 2% of Global R&D. These proportions of SG research of total research expenses will increase as 5G moves into full standardisation, development and production over the next few years and future iterations of these assessments will take account of this. Our first release dated July 2016 was based on publicly available figures for FY2015. The third edition uses FY2017 figures. We did not modify the shares applied last year as we consider the full standardisation phase has not been achieved yet. In our view, 2018 will be a transition year from standardisation to trials.	5	Business	B1. Leverage effect of EU	Assessment methodology used
investment in R&D for 5G systems in the order of 5 to 10 times Description Descri				
worldwide R&D expenses. The main challenge is then to assess the declared R&D figures of a representative set of key ICT players and deduce which proportion of their R&D spend is 5G related. We also discussed if the 5G spend in Europe could be identified or at least assessed. We made conservative assumptions on what the 5G activities share of their worldwide R&D was – usually in the order of 10% and then we further reduced that to reflect what European share of the 5G activities as part of the total R&D expenses could be – typically we ended up with a figure of about 5% of global R&D. To further eliminate over-assessment risks and to give us a very conservative figure we also considered the European 5G as 2% of Global R&D. These proportions of 5G research of total research expenses will increase as 5G moves into full standardisation, development and production over the next few years and future iterations of these assessments will take account of this. Our first release dated July 2016 was based on publicly available figures for FY2015. The third edition uses FY2017 figures. We did not modify the shares applied last year as we consider the full standardisation to trials.			funding in terms of private	Our methodology is based upon gathering the
systems in the order of 5 to 10 times Worldwide R&D expenses. The main challenge is then to assess the declared R&D figures of a representative set of key ICT players and deduce which proportion of their R&D spend is 5G related. We also discussed if the 5G spend in Europe could be identified or at least assessed. We made conservative assumptions on what the 5G activities share of their worldwide R&D was — usually in the order of 10% and then we further reduced that to reflect what European share of the 5G activities as part of the total R&D expenses could be — typically we ended up with a figure of about 5% of global R&D. To further eliminate over-assessment risks and to give us a very conservative figure we also considered the European 5G as 2% of Global R&D. These proportions of 5G research of total research expenses will increase as 5G moves into full standardisation, development and production over the next few years and future iterations of these assessments will take account of this. Our first release dated July 2016 was based on publicly available figures for FY2015. The third edition uses FY2017 figures. We did not modify the shares applied last year as we consider the full standardisation phase has not been achieved yet. In our view, 2018 will be a transition year from standardisation to trials.			investment in R&D for 5G	published public figures from annual reports for
The main challenge is then to assess the declared R&D figures of a representative set of key ICT players and deduce which proportion of their R&D spend is 5G related. We also discussed if the 5G spend in Europe could be identified or at least assessed. We made conservative assumptions on what the 5G activities share of their worldwide R&D was — usually in the order of 10% and then we further reduced that to reflect what European share of the 5G activities as part of the total R&D expenses could be — typically we ended up with a figure of about 5% of global R&D. To further eliminate over-assessment risks and to give us a very conservative figure we also considered the European 5G as 2% of Global R&D. These proportions of 5G research of total research expenses will increase as 5G moves into full standardisation, development and production over the next few years and future iterations of these assessments will take account of this. Our first release dated July 2016 was based on publicly available figures for FY2015. The third edition uses FY2017 figures. We did not modify the shares applied last year as we consider the full standardisation, devaluate has not been achieved yet. In our view, 2018 will be a transition year from standardisation to trials.			systems in the order of 5 to	worldwide R&D expenses.
R&D figures of a representative set of key ICT players and deduce which proportion of their R&D spend is SG related. We also discussed if the 5G spend in Europe could be identified or at least assessed. We made conservative assumptions on what the 5G activities share of their worldwide R&D was – usually in the order of 10% and then we further reduced that to reflect what European share of the 5G activities as part of the total R&D expenses could be – typically we ended up with a figure of about 5% of global R&D. To further eliminate over-assessment risks and to give us a very conservative figure we also considered the European 5G as 2% of Global R&D. These proportions of 5G research of total research expenses will increase as 5G moves into full standardisation, development and production over the next few years and future iterations of these assessments will take account of this. Our first release dated July 2016 was based on publicly available figures for FY2015. The third edition uses FY2017 figures. We did not modify the shares applied last year as we consider the full standardisation phase has not been achieved yet. In our view, 2018 will be a transition year from standardisation phase has not been achieved yet. In our view, 2018 will be a transition year from standardisation to trials.			•	
players and deduce which proportion of their R&D spend is 5G related. We also discussed if the 5G spend in Europe could be identified or at least assessed. We made conservative assumptions on what the 5G activities share of their worldwide R&D was — usually in the order of 10% and then we further reduced that to reflect what European share of the 5G activities as part of the total R&D expenses could be — typically we ended up with a figure of about 5% of global R&D. To further eliminate over-assessment risks and to give us a very conservative figure we also considered the European 5G as 2% of Global R&D. These proportions of 5G research of total research expenses will increase as 5G moves into full standardisation, development and production over the next few years and future iterations of these assessments will take account of this. Our first release dated July 2016 was based on publicly available figures for FY2015. The third edition uses FY2017 figures. We did not modify the shares applied last year as we consider the full standardisation phase has not been achieved yet. In our view, 2018 will be a transition year from standardisation to trials.				
spend is 5G related. We also discussed if the 5G spend in Europe could be identified or at least assessed. We made conservative assumptions on what the 5G activities share of their worldwide R&D was — usually in the order of 10% and then we further reduced that to reflect what European share of the 5G activities as part of the total R&D expenses could be — typically we ended up with a figure of about 5% of global R&D. To further eliminate over-assessment risks and to give us a very conservative figure we also considered the European 5G as 2% of Global R&D. These proportions of 5G research of total research expenses will increase as 5G moves into full standardisation, development and production over the next few years and future iterations of these assessments will take account of this. Our first release dated July 2016 was based on publicly available figures for FY2015. The third edition uses FY2017 figures. We did not modify the shares applied last year as we consider the full standardisation phase has not been achieved yet. In our view, 2018 will be a transition year from standardisation to trials.				
spend in Europe could be identified or at least assessed. We made conservative assumptions on what the 5G activities share of their worldwide R&D was — usually in the order of 10% and then we further reduced that to reflect what European share of the 5G activities as part of the total R&D expenses could be — typically we ended up with a figure of about 5% of global R&D. To further eliminate over-assessment risks and to give us a very conservative figure we also considered the European 5G as 2% of Global R&D. These proportions of 5G research of total research expenses will increase as 5G moves into full standardisation, development and production over the next few years and future iterations of these assessments will take account of this. Our first release dated July 2016 was based on publicly available figures for FY2015. The third edition uses FY2017 figures. We did not modify the shares applied last year as we consider the full standardisation phase has not been achieved yet. In our view, 2018 will be a transition year from standardisation to trials.				
assessed. We made conservative assumptions on what the 5G activities share of their worldwide R&D was — usually in the order of 10% and then we further reduced that to reflect what European share of the 5G activities as part of the total R&D expenses could be — typically we ended up with a figure of about 5% of global R&D. To further eliminate over-assessment risks and to give us a very conservative figure we also considered the European 5G as 2% of Global R&D. These proportions of 5G research of total research expenses will increase as 5G moves into full standardisation, development and production over the next few years and future iterations of these assessments will take account of this. Our first release dated July 2016 was based on publicly available figures for FY2015. The third edition uses FY2017 figures. We did not modify the shares applied last year as we consider the full standardisation phase has not been achieved yet. In our view, 2018 will be a transition year from standardisation to trials.				
We made conservative assumptions on what the 5G activities share of their worldwide R&D was — usually in the order of 10% and then we further reduced that to reflect what European share of the 5G activities as part of the total R&D expenses could be — typically we ended up with a figure of about 5% of global R&D. To further eliminate over-assessment risks and to give us a very conservative figure we also considered the European 5G as 2% of Global R&D. These proportions of 5G research of total research expenses will increase as 5G moves into full standardisation, development and production over the next few years and future iterations of these assessments will take account of this. Our first release dated July 2016 was based on publicly available figures for FY2015. The third edition uses FY2017 figures. We did not modify the shares applied last year as we consider the full standardisation phase has not been achieved yet. In our view, 2018 will be a transition year from standardisation to trials.				
activities share of their worldwide R&D was — usually in the order of 10% and then we further reduced that to reflect what European share of the 5G activities as part of the total R&D expenses could be — typically we ended up with a figure of about 5% of global R&D. To further eliminate over-assessment risks and to give us a very conservative figure we also considered the European 5G as 2% of Global R&D. These proportions of 5G research of total research expenses will increase as 5G moves into full standardisation, development and production over the next few years and future iterations of these assessments will take account of this. Our first release dated July 2016 was based on publicly available figures for FY2015. The third edition uses FY2017 figures. We did not modify the shares applied last year as we consider the full standardisation phase has not been achieved yet. In our view, 2018 will be a transition year from standardisation to trials.				assessed.
activities share of their worldwide R&D was — usually in the order of 10% and then we further reduced that to reflect what European share of the 5G activities as part of the total R&D expenses could be — typically we ended up with a figure of about 5% of global R&D. To further eliminate over-assessment risks and to give us a very conservative figure we also considered the European 5G as 2% of Global R&D. These proportions of 5G research of total research expenses will increase as 5G moves into full standardisation, development and production over the next few years and future iterations of these assessments will take account of this. Our first release dated July 2016 was based on publicly available figures for FY2015. The third edition uses FY2017 figures. We did not modify the shares applied last year as we consider the full standardisation phase has not been achieved yet. In our view, 2018 will be a transition year from standardisation to trials.				We made conservative assumptions on what the 5G
usually in the order of 10% and then we further reduced that to reflect what European share of the 5G activities as part of the total R&D expenses could be - typically we ended up with a figure of about 5% of global R&D. To further eliminate over-assessment risks and to give us a very conservative figure we also considered the European 5G as 2% of Global R&D. These proportions of 5G research of total research expenses will increase as 5G moves into full standardisation, development and production over the next few years and future iterations of these assessments will take account of this. Our first release dated July 2016 was based on publicly available figures for FY2015. The third edition uses FY2017 figures. We did not modify the shares applied last year as we consider the full standardisation phase has not been achieved yet. In our view, 2018 will be a transition year from standardisation to trials.				
reduced that to reflect what European share of the 5G activities as part of the total R&D expenses could be - typically we ended up with a figure of about 5% of global R&D. To further eliminate over-assessment risks and to give us a very conservative figure we also considered the European 5G as 2% of Global R&D. These proportions of 5G research of total research expenses will increase as 5G moves into full standardisation, development and production over the next few years and future iterations of these assessments will take account of this. Our first release dated July 2016 was based on publicly available figures for FY2015. The third edition uses FY2017 figures. We did not modify the shares applied last year as we consider the full standardisation phase has not been achieved yet. In our view, 2018 will be a transition year from standardisation to trials.				
activities as part of the total R&D expenses could be typically we ended up with a figure of about 5% of global R&D. To further eliminate over-assessment risks and to give us a very conservative figure we also considered the European 5G as 2% of Global R&D. These proportions of 5G research of total research expenses will increase as 5G moves into full standardisation, development and production over the next few years and future iterations of these assessments will take account of this. Our first release dated July 2016 was based on publicly available figures for FY2015. The third edition uses FY2017 figures. We did not modify the shares applied last year as we consider the full standardisation phase has not been achieved yet. In our view, 2018 will be a transition year from standardisation to trials.				
typically we ended up with a figure of about 5% of global R&D. To further eliminate over-assessment risks and to give us a very conservative figure we also considered the European 5G as 2% of Global R&D. These proportions of 5G research of total research expenses will increase as 5G moves into full standardisation, development and production over the next few years and future iterations of these assessments will take account of this. Our first release dated July 2016 was based on publicly available figures for FY2015. The third edition uses FY2017 figures. We did not modify the shares applied last year as we consider the full standardisation phase has not been achieved yet. In our view, 2018 will be a transition year from standardisation to trials.				
global R&D. To further eliminate over-assessment risks and to give us a very conservative figure we also considered the European 5G as 2% of Global R&D. These proportions of 5G research of total research expenses will increase as 5G moves into full standardisation, development and production over the next few years and future iterations of these assessments will take account of this. Our first release dated July 2016 was based on publicly available figures for FY2015. The third edition uses FY2017 figures. We did not modify the shares applied last year as we consider the full standardisation phase has not been achieved yet. In our view, 2018 will be a transition year from standardisation to trials.				
risks and to give us a very conservative figure we also considered the European 5G as 2% of Global R&D. These proportions of 5G research of total research expenses will increase as 5G moves into full standardisation, development and production over the next few years and future iterations of these assessments will take account of this. Our first release dated July 2016 was based on publicly available figures for FY2015. The third edition uses FY2017 figures. We did not modify the shares applied last year as we consider the full standardisation phase has not been achieved yet. In our view, 2018 will be a transition year from standardisation to trials.				
also considered the European 5G as 2% of Global R&D. These proportions of 5G research of total research expenses will increase as 5G moves into full standardisation, development and production over the next few years and future iterations of these assessments will take account of this. Our first release dated July 2016 was based on publicly available figures for FY2015. The third edition uses FY2017 figures. We did not modify the shares applied last year as we consider the full standardisation phase has not been achieved yet. In our view, 2018 will be a transition year from standardisation to trials.				
R&D. These proportions of 5G research of total research expenses will increase as 5G moves into full standardisation, development and production over the next few years and future iterations of these assessments will take account of this. Our first release dated July 2016 was based on publicly available figures for FY2015. The third edition uses FY2017 figures. We did not modify the shares applied last year as we consider the full standardisation phase has not been achieved yet. In our view, 2018 will be a transition year from standardisation to trials.				
research expenses will increase as 5G moves into full standardisation, development and production over the next few years and future iterations of these assessments will take account of this. Our first release dated July 2016 was based on publicly available figures for FY2015. The third edition uses FY2017 figures. We did not modify the shares applied last year as we consider the full standardisation phase has not been achieved yet. In our view, 2018 will be a transition year from standardisation to trials.				
standardisation, development and production over the next few years and future iterations of these assessments will take account of this. Our first release dated July 2016 was based on publicly available figures for FY2015. The third edition uses FY2017 figures. We did not modify the shares applied last year as we consider the full standardisation phase has not been achieved yet. In our view, 2018 will be a transition year from standardisation to trials.				
next few years and future iterations of these assessments will take account of this. Our first release dated July 2016 was based on publicly available figures for FY2015. The third edition uses FY2017 figures. We did not modify the shares applied last year as we consider the full standardisation phase has not been achieved yet. In our view, 2018 will be a transition year from standardisation to trials.				
assessments will take account of this. Our first release dated July 2016 was based on publicly available figures for FY2015. The third edition uses FY2017 figures. We did not modify the shares applied last year as we consider the full standardisation phase has not been achieved yet. In our view, 2018 will be a transition year from standardisation to trials.				
Our first release dated July 2016 was based on publicly available figures for FY2015. The third edition uses FY2017 figures. We did not modify the shares applied last year as we consider the full standardisation phase has not been achieved yet. In our view, 2018 will be a transition year from standardisation to trials.				
publicly available figures for FY2015. The third edition uses FY2017 figures. We did not modify the shares applied last year as we consider the full standardisation phase has not been achieved yet. In our view, 2018 will be a transition year from standardisation to trials.				assessments will take account of this.
publicly available figures for FY2015. The third edition uses FY2017 figures. We did not modify the shares applied last year as we consider the full standardisation phase has not been achieved yet. In our view, 2018 will be a transition year from standardisation to trials.				
edition uses FY2017 figures. We did not modify the shares applied last year as we consider the full standardisation phase has not been achieved yet. In our view, 2018 will be a transition year from standardisation to trials.				
shares applied last year as we consider the full standardisation phase has not been achieved yet. In our view, 2018 will be a transition year from standardisation to trials.				
standardisation phase has not been achieved yet. In our view, 2018 will be a transition year from standardisation to trials.				edition uses FY2017 figures. We did not modify the
standardisation phase has not been achieved yet. In our view, 2018 will be a transition year from standardisation to trials.				shares applied last year as we consider the full
our view, 2018 will be a transition year from standardisation to trials.				
standardisation to trials.				
For direct evaluation purposes, we took into account				
For direct evaluation purposes, we took into account				
				For direct evaluation purposes, we took into account

			a representative set of players active in the 5G PPP. For a second reference figure we have considered a wider set of players in different aspects of the ICT sector including: equipment manufacturers, mobile network operators, test equipment manufacturers and device manufacturers, and chipset manufacturers.
			Main biases from the methodology and declared figures
			There are significant methodology biases that we have to be aware of.
			First, R&D figures are often considered as critical by companies. As such, data on trends are not always consistent and public figures can be misleading. Some companies disclose information on Capital Expenditures, other on "innovation" – innovation appears as a portmanteau word that leaves much space for interpretation-, and still others prefer to use the term "R&D expenses", without one knowing the method actually used of what is counted.
			Second, the assumptions we made on what the 5G activities share of the worldwide figures collected was is based on our expertise but could significantly vary depending on companies. We tried to lower the uncertainty in this field as much as possible and correct misperceptions.
			Third, we selected a wide set of players involved in the 5G field but could not gather information from all companies. Information could remain fragmentary in some areas. However, we consider our sample of 23 organizations is reliable and representative.

	1	Ī		
				Assessment of leverage ratio for 2017
				Redoing the same exercise in 2017, we get the results
				illustrated in Table B at the end of Annex – Part 2).
				From Table B it can be seen that the most conservative assessment of 2% of the Global R&D spend being invested in 5G would increase in a leverage factor of 21 considering the whole 5G PPP 2017 investment (Phase 1 third year and Phase 2 first year).
				The 5G PPP funding for phase 1 and phase 2 projects was about 70 MEUR for bigger industry, which facilitated projects with a value of around 30 MEUR per year — allowing for projects with different durations (between 24 to 26 months). The total funding budget for 5G PPP Call 1 was 125 MEUR. It was 150 MEUR for phase 2.
				Such a high leverage ratio is also due to the fact that we used a top-down approach based on information from the overall industry, rather than exclusively from R&D activities related to 5G PPP.
				Conclusion on Leverage ratio for 2017
				From the above exercise, even allowing for the assumptions and generalisations, we can confidently state that the European ICT sector is exceeding, the planned level of investment leverage expected in the 5G PPP Contractual Arrangement.
6	Business	B2. Target SME participation	SMEs	Small and Medium-sized Enterprises (SMEs) have an
		under this initiative	represent an	important role to play in developing, piloting and
		commensurate with an	average of	deploying 5G technologies, both to help with

allocation of 20% of the total	19% of the	disruptive technologies and to address the needs	of
public funding	participants	various vertical sectors. European SMEs have a g	great
	in budget in	added value in providing innovative concepts and	d
	the 5G PPP	solutions with an important impact on the 5G va	ılue
	Phase 1 and	chain. They have the agility and flexibility requir	red
	Phase 2	in a fast evolving market.	
	projects,		
	almost	The SME Working Group, supported by Euro-50	3
	reaching the	and then To-Euro-5G Coordination and Support	
	objective of	Action projects, involved 100 SMEs, as well as 1	12
	20% that is	industrial companies and 18 research organisatio	
	the minimum	by the end of 2017. It succeeded in increasing the	
	share set as a	visibility and exposure of SME's expertise and sl	
	KPIfor the	to the point that SME represent an average of near	
	5G PPP.	20% of the participants in budget in the 5G PPP	
		Phase 1 and Phase 2 projects, almost reaching the	
		objective of 20% that is the minimum share set a	
		KPI for the 5G PPP. The next challenge is to read	ch
		20% or more for the upcoming Phase 3.	
		To reach this ambitious objective, the "Find the S	SME
		you need!" web page was completely revamped	. to
		match SMEs' experience and expertise with the	new
		requirements set for Phase 3 of the 5G PPP, look	ing
		for developing 5G platforms and large-scale trial	s in
		various vertical industrial sectors. The page now	
		includes the way to find SMEs via topics of the 5	5G
		PPP calls, and by vertical industrial sector. Detail	led
		information is provided for each SME. New succ	
		stories on SME involvement have been published	
		An active promotion of SMEs was performed via	
		social channels and participation in events. In Jun	ne

 $^2\,\underline{https://www.networld2020.eu/find-the-sme-you-need/}$

			2017 and 2018, a dedicated "SME booth" was set at the European Conference for Networks and Communication (EuCNC). Several SME videos are available on the 5G PPP YouTube channel ³ . SMEs participating in the SME Working Group have confirmed that their presence on the SME web page have increased their visibility and exposure, and in some cases have facilitated their involvement in 5G PPP Phase 3 proposals -in addition to being contacted by organisations that they had already known and worked with.
7	Business	B3. Reach a global market share for 5G equipment & services delivered by European headquartered ICT companies at, or above, the reported 2011 level of 43% global market share in communication infrastructure	As 5G services have not yet been introduced to the market it is too early to report on this business KPI
8	Societal	S1. Enabling advanced user controlled privacy	As 5G services have not yet been introduced to the market it is too early to report on this societal KPI.
9	Societal	S2. Reduction of energy consumption per service up to 90% (as compared to 2010)	More than 10 years battery lifetime on a single 5 Wh battery were achieved. For the capacityX1000, network energy efficiency

³ https://5G PPP.eu/video/ and https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCY8mTTwrDoMl35vGkiKq1Eg

				improvements of 350-7500 were reported.
10	Societal	S3. European availability of a competitive industrial offer for 5G systems and technologies		Information is not available yet.
11	Societal	S4. Stimulation of new economically-viable services of high societal value like U-HDTV and M2M applications		NetWorld2020 and 5G IA document "Economic considerations on Smart Networks as key enabler of the Human Centric Internet and the digital transformation research in FP9" (enclosed hereunder) provides an excellent description of the essential link between 5G and Smart Networks and their strong positive impact on economy and society in Europe and globally. Networld2020 - 5GIA Socio-economi Some considerations and findings extracted from the document are reported in the main PMR document.
12	Societal	S5. Establishment and availability of 5G skills development curricula (in partnership with the EIT)	In June 2016, 5G IA made a survey to collect information among NetWorld20 20 research Members on 5G curricula (see	5G IA and EIT Digital have established a positive dialogue on how the two organisations could mutually benefit from a close cooperation in particular in relation to the establishment and availability of 5G skill development curricula. In particular, discussions with EIT Digital have been initiated to cooperate on curricula for higher education (e.g. possible 5G IA's involvement in the activities of the EIT's 'Summer School').

document enclosed hereunder) 5G skills development curricu	 Further collaboration in this area and implementation of actions are planned in 2018. "Initial feedback from 5G IA Members and 5G PPP Projects on 5G Related Curricula, New Skills and Job Profiles" (Survey launched in July 2018) is enclosed hereunder:
The survey was meant to give an initial/partial overview of the types of curricula available as a basis for discussion with EIT Digital.	Initial feedback from 5G IA Members

Annex Part 3 Contribution to Programme-Level KPI's

Key Performance Indicator	Definition/Responding to question	Type of data required	Data [Commission]	Baseline at the start of H2020 (latest available)	Target (for the cPPP) at the end of H2020	Comments
Patents	The document enclosed hereunder "5G IP Landscape Analysis", prepared by 5G IA Member Thales in the context of the preparation of the 5G PPP Progress Monitoring Report 2017, aims at determining early trends for 5G mobile network underlying technologies and may represent a contribution to the definition of IP KPIs for the 5G PPP. 5G IP Landscape Analysis - Extract for The document provides information on key issues, including: Patents Search Methodolgy General Trends Geographical coverage	Number of patents awarded				

		 Top 20 assignees Technology segments evolution 			
2	Standardisation activities (project level) Contributions to new standards (PPP level)	The document "5G PPP Work and Impact on Standardization" enclosed hereunder provides an overview of the main activities, successes and challenges related to prestandardization activities 5G PPP Work and Impact on Standardi In 5G PPP Phase 1, there were 317 contributions towards 19 standards groups. In 5G PPP Phase 2, 40	Number of activities leading to standardisation Number of working items in European Standardisation Bodies. Number of prenormative research files – prEN - under consultation in ESBs		
		contributions towards 19 standards groups have			

	been identified so far. Based on current evidence, the total number of relevant standards organisations is expected to increase with the involvement of vertical industries.
Operational performance	Time-to-grant ICT-07- 2017: Call closure date: 08 November 2016 Start of large majority of the projects: 1 June 2017 – More details available at: https://5g-ppp.eu/5g-ppp-phase-2-projects/ Time-to-grant ICT-08- 2017: Call closure date: 08 November 2016 Start of large majority of the projects: 1 June 2017 – More details available at: https://5g-ppp.eu/5g-ppp-phase-2-projects/

5	joint public-	Number and share of joint public-private publications out of all LEIT publications.	publications data (DOI) from LEIT funded		
	private publications	publications.	projects		

Table A - Performance KPIs evaluated by phase 1 project METIS-II

KPI	Requirement	METIS-II performance	Key contributor
C-Plane latency	< 10 ms	7.125 ms	RRC Connected Inactive, reduction of processing time in BS and UE
U-Plane latency	< 1ms	0.763 ms	Shortening of TTI, reduction of processing time in BS and UE
mMTC energy efficiency	> 10 years on a single 5 Wh battery	> 10 years on a single 5 Wh battery	Extension of DRX, C-Plane latency reduction, deep sleep energy conservation features
Peak data rates	> 20/10 Gbps for DL/UL	21.7/12.4 Gbps for DL/UL	MIMO spatial multiplexing (for lower frequencies), exploitation of mmW bands
Mobility interruption time	0 ms	0 ms	Multi-connectivity + make-before-brake

KPI	Requirements	METIS-II performance	Comments
User throughput (use case 1, UC2 and UC3)	UC1: 300 Mbps UC2: up to 5 Gbps UC3: 50/25 Mbps for DL/UL	UC1: 1 Gbps+ UC2: up to 7.85 Gbps UC3: 50/25 Mbps for DL/UL	Only DL values for UC1 and UC2 Different methodology applied for UC3 evaluation
mMTC device density (UC4)	>1 mln/km²	4 mln/km²	Depends heavily on the traffic/report periodicity of mMTC devices. 1 upload of 1000 bits every 100 s was used in METIS-II
Reliability (UC5)	99.999% at 50/1000m for urban/highway	99.999% at 45/150m for urban/highway	For highway scenario, requirements seems very difficult to meet (revision needed?)
Network energy efficiency (UC1, UC3)	Should follow (at least) capacity improvement	For the capacity x1000, network energy efficiency improvements of 350-7500 were reported	Evaluation done only for Dense Urban environment. Savings depend on the load level in LTE-A/5G network

Table B - 5G R&D expenses – Investment Leverage Effect

		2017R&D	5G as 10% of global	5G as 5% of	5G as 2% of
5G PRIVATE R&D	5G PRIVATE R&D SPENDING (Million EUR)		R&D	Global R&D	Global R&D
Infrastructure Ver	Infrastructure Vendors				
	Ericsson	3 932	393	197	79
	Nokia (Incl. ALU)	4 916	492	246	98
	Huawei	11 772	1 177	589	235
	NEC Europe	?	50	25	10
	Samsung*	13 185	659	330	132
MNOs		20 200			
	British Telecom	?	50	25	10
	Deutsche Telekom	5 500	550	275	110
	Orange	781	78	39	16
	Portugal Telecom	?	7	3	1
	TIM	1 900	190	95	38
	Telefonica	906	91	45	18
	Telenor	60	6	3	1
Test equipment	referior	•	0	3	-
rest equipment	Keysight Technologies*	442	22,1	11,05	6
	Rohde & Schwartz	285	29	14	6
Chipset					
	Intel*	11 618	576	288	115
	Sequans	23	2	1	0
IT	Sequans	23	-	-	
	ATOS	?	29	14	6
	IBM	1350	135	67,5	27
Others				0.70	
	ADVA	24	2	1	0,5
	CEA	47,25	7	3	1
	Hewlett Packard Enterprise	?	7	3	1
	Thales	750	75	38	15
	IHP	22,4	2	1	0
	***	22,4	-	-	Ŭ
			5G as 10% of global	5G as 5% of	5G as 2% of
			R&D	Global R&D	Global R&D
TOTAL 5G PRIVAT	E R&D SPENDING (Million EUR)	57 514			
		57.521	4 630	2 312	926
Phase 1 total fund	ling from EC	125	125	125	125
Phase 1 third year		45	45	45	45
	The players in the table share of EU funding is		50%	50%	50%
Phase 1 third year funding for above mentioned players		50% 23	23	23	23
Phase 2 total funding from EC		150	150	150	150
Phase 2 first year funding		33	33	33	33
	table share of EU funding is	50%	50%	50%	50%
	unding for above mentioned players	17	17	17	17
Leverage factor 20		1/	104	52	21
_	Leverage factor 2017 for above mentioned players		207	104	42
Leverage racior 20	Assumptions are in italies when BOD synances are un		207	104	72

Assumptions are in italics when R&D expenses are unknown

Source: To-Euro-5G, based on publicly available figures and estimates

^{*:} For companies not based in Europe

^{**: (}Phase 1 3rd year and Phase 2 1st year funding) divided by TOTAL 5G PRIVATE R&D SPENDING